

TAKS Writing Rubric

	Score Point 1	Score Point 2	Score Point 3	Score Point 4
Focus & Coherence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual paragraphs and/or the composition as a whole are not focused. The writer may shift abruptly from idea to idea, making it difficult for the reader to understand how the ideas included in the composition are related. The composition as a whole has little, or no, sense of completeness. The introduction and conclusion, if present, may be perfunctory. A substantial amount of writing may be extraneous because it does not contribute to the development or quality of the composition. In some cases, the composition overall may be only weakly connected to the prompt. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual paragraphs and/or the composition as a whole are somewhat focused. The writer may shift quickly from idea to idea, but the reader has no difficulty understanding how the ideas included in the composition are related. The composition as a whole has some sense of completeness. The writer includes an introduction and conclusion, but they may be superficial. Some of the writing may be extraneous because it does not contribute to the development or quality of the composition as a whole. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual paragraphs and the composition as a whole are, for the most part, focused. The writer generally shows the clear relationship between ideas, making few sudden shifts from one idea to the next. The composition as a whole has a sense of completeness. The introduction and conclusion add some depth to the composition. Most of the writing contributes to the development or quality of the composition as a whole. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual paragraphs and the composition as a whole are focused. This sustained focus enables the reader to understand and appreciate how the ideas included in the composition are related. The composition as a whole has a sense of completeness. The introduction and conclusion are meaningful because they add depth to the composition. Most, if not all, of the writing contributes to the development or quality of the composition as a whole.
Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer's progression of thought from sentence to sentence and/or paragraph to paragraph is not logical. Sometimes weak progression results from an absence of transitions or from the use of transitions that do not make sense. At other times, the progression of thought is simply not evident, even if appropriate transitions are included. An organizational strategy is not evident. The writer may present ideas in a random or haphazard way, making the composition difficult to follow. Wordiness and/or repetition may stall the progression of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer's progression of thought from sentence to sentence and/or paragraph to paragraph may not always be smooth or completely logical. Sometimes the writer needs to strengthen the progression by including more meaningful transitions; at other times the writer simply needs to establish a clearer link between ideas. The organizational strategy or strategies the writer chooses do not enable the writer to present ideas effectively. Some wordiness and/or repetition may be evident, but these weaknesses do not completely stall the progression of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer's progression of thought from sentence to sentence and paragraph to paragraph is generally smooth and controlled. For the most part, transitions are meaningful, and the links between ideas are logical. The organizational strategy or strategies the writer chooses are generally effective. Wordiness and/or repetition, if present, are minor problems that do not stall the progression of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer's progression of thought from sentence to sentence and paragraph to paragraph is smooth and controlled. The writer's use of meaningful transitions and the logical movement from idea to idea strengthen this progression. The organizational strategy or strategies the writer chooses enhance the writer's ability to present ideas clearly and effectively.
Development of Ideas	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer presents one or more ideas but provides little or no development of those ideas. The writer presents one or more ideas and attempts to develop them. However, this development is so general or vague that it prevents the reader from understanding the writer's ideas. The writer presents only a plot summary of a published piece of writing, a movie, or a television show. The writer omits information, which creates significant gaps between ideas. These gaps prevent the reader from clearly understanding those ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer attempts to develop the composition by listing ideas or briefly explaining them. In both of these cases, the development remains superficial, limiting the reader's understanding and appreciation of the writer's ideas. The writer presents one or more ideas and attempts to develop them. However, there is little evidence of depth of thinking because this development may be somewhat general, inconsistent, or contrived. The writer may omit small pieces of information that create minor gaps between ideas. However, these gaps do not prevent the reader from understanding those ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer attempts to develop all the ideas included in the composition. Although some ideas may be developed more thoroughly and specifically than others, the development overall reflects some depth of thought, enabling the reader to generally understand and appreciate the writer's ideas. The writer's presentation of some ideas may be thoughtful. There may be little evidence that the writer has been willing to take compositional risks when developing the topic. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer's thorough and specific development of each idea creates depth of thought in the composition, enabling the reader to truly understand and appreciate the writer's ideas. The writer's presentation of ideas is thoughtful or insightful. The writer may approach the topic from an unusual perspective, use his/her unique experiences or view of the world as a basis for writing, or make interesting connections between ideas. In all these cases, the writer's willingness to take compositional risks enhances the quality of the content.
Voice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer does not engage the reader, therefore failing to establish a connection. There may be little or no sense of the writer's individual voice. The composition does not sound authentic or original. The writer is unable to express his/her individuality or unique perspective. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There may be moments when the writer engages the reader but fails to sustain the connection. Individual paragraphs or sections of the composition may sound authentic or original, but the writer has difficulty expressing his/her individuality or unique perspective. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer engages the reader and sustains that connection throughout most of the composition. For the most part, the composition sounds authentic and original. The writer is generally able to express his/her individuality or unique perspective. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer engages the reader and sustains this connection throughout the composition. The composition sounds authentic and original. The writer is able to express his/her individuality or unique perspective.
Conventions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There is little or no evidence in the composition that the writer can correctly apply the conventions of the English language. Severe and/or frequent errors in spelling, capitalization, punctuation, grammar, usage, and sentence structure may cause the writing to be unclear or difficult to read. These errors weaken the composition by causing an overall lack of fluency. The writer may misuse or omit words and phrases and may frequently write awkward sentences. These weaknesses interfere with the effective communication of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Errors in spelling, capitalization, punctuation, grammar, usage, and sentence structure throughout the composition may indicate a limited control of conventions. Although these errors do not cause the writing to be unclear, they weaken the overall fluency of the composition. The writer may include some simple or inaccurate words and phrases and may write some awkward sentences. These weaknesses limit the overall effectiveness of the communication of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The writer generally demonstrates a good command of spelling, capitalization, punctuation, grammar, usage, and sentence structure. Although the writer may make minor errors, they create few disruptions in the fluency of the composition. The words, phrases, and sentence structures the writer uses are generally appropriate and contribute to the overall effectiveness of the communication of ideas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The overall strength of the conventions contributes to the effectiveness of the composition. The writer demonstrates a consistent command of spelling, capitalization, punctuation, grammar, usage, and sentence structure. When the writer attempts to communicate complex ideas through sophisticated forms of expression, he/she may make minor errors as a result of these compositional risks. These types of errors do not detract from the overall fluency of the composition. The words, phrases, and sentence structures the writer uses enhance the overall effectiveness of the communication of ideas.